

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/504,070		02/14/2000	Terada Masayuki	10746/16 7453		
26646	7590	09/29/2003				
KENYON & KENYON				EXAMINER		
ONE BRO NEW YO		0004		LIPMAN, JACOB		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2134	$\overline{a}$	
				DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u> </u>					
*	Application No.		Applicant(s)	Č					
	09/504,070		MASAYUKI ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
	Jacob Lipman		2134						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cove	r sheet with the d	correspondence addre	SS					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, how within the statutory mi ill apply and will expire cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tir nimum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm () (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 F</u>	<u>ebruary 2000</u> .								
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-f	inal.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B				nerits is					
Disposition of Claims	zx parte Quayle	, 1905 C.D. 11, •	100 O.G. 210.						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from conside	ration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> is/are rejected.									
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election require	ement.							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		ted to by the Exa	miner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office a	ction.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
<ol> <li>1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been rec	eived.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application.</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		nge					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti									
Attachment(s)	an and								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.4	4)		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The Information Disclosure Statements of 2/14/00, 5/8/00, 11/5/02 and 1/22/03 have been considered in this office action.

## Specification

- This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37
   CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.
- 2. A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. A few examples of this are as follows:

Claim 1 recites "circulation system". It is unclear what circulation is intended.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said data". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/504,070

Art Unit: 2134

Claim 1 recites "data corresponding to said second information". It is unclear what data is being verified here.

Claim 1 recites "when said issuer apparatus is valid". It is unclear if this is a precondition for something.

The remaining claims have similar problems and must be corrected.

Claims 14, 23, and 24 recite the limitation "said manifest". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 20 repeats the same line twice.

Claim 54 recites "said user apparatus further comprising means for generating said originality information. It is unclear how the user apparatus will generate information that has already been generated by the issuer apparatus.

Claim 71 recites, "and second information corresponding to data or information corresponding to the data". There is no clear understanding of this limitation.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-71, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Samson, US Patent number 5,287,408.

With regard to claims 1, 2, 9, 11, 14, 15, 17, 19-21, 23-25, 31-33, 40, 41, 45-51, 54, 55, 62, 63, and 67-71, Samson discloses a system comprising a device to generate

Application/Control Number: 09/504,070

Art Unit: 2134

licenses corresponding to an issuer (column 3 lines 14-20), a corresponding program is sent with this license imbedded in it (column 3 lines 22-24). The receiving computer has a verifying means to verify the license is valid, thus the issuer, and program must be valid (column 5 39-46).

With regard to claims 3, 6 and 18, Samson discloses one objective of his method is to prevent unauthorized reproduction of digital information (column 2 lines 53-55).

With regard to claims 4, 7, 26, 34, 42, 56, 60, and 64, Samson discloses the license function is that of a verification key and signature.

With regard to claims 5, 8 and 10, Samson discloses the license can be stored in a tamper-proof device, such as read only memory (column 8 lines 3-8).

With regard to claims 12, 22, 27-30, 35-39, 53, 57-59 and 61, Samson discloses moving or copying the program and license to a new computer, thus allowing the new computer to verify the license (column 8 lines 9-16).

With regard to claims 13 and 16, Samson discloses verification of the license over a network (column 8 lines 8-30).

With regard to claims 43, 44, 65 and 66, Samson discloses the licenses are based on a one-way function (column 5 lines 28-33).

With regard to claim 52, Samson discloses that there is a count kept of the licenses issued (column 9 lines 42-46).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 703-305-

Application/Control Number: 09/504,070

Art Unit: 2134

#

0716. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 5 M-Th, and alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

JL

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
CHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 5